

INTERIM COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT:
KEVIN WEST, CURRENT CHAIRMAN/CEO/PRESIDENT OF CMKM
DIAMONDS, INC., (CMKM); BILL FRIZZELL, ATTORNEY FOR CMKM; AND
MARK FAULK, FORMER CEO/PRESIDENT OF CMKM.

CMKM Diamonds, Inc.
P.O. Box 9575
Tyler, TX 75711

Bill Frizzell
Frizzell Law Firm
Texas Bar No. 07484500
602 S. Broadway
Tyler, Texas 75701
Tel. (903) 595-1921
Fax (903) 595-4383

The COALITION is filing this INTERIM COMPLAINT with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell.

http://www.ssb.state.tx.us/Inspections_And_Compliance/File_A_Complaint.php

<http://www.texasbar.com>

COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT

***AFTER ENDURING MORE THAN THREE YEARS OF FEEBLE/INEPT ACTIONS, INACTIONS/NEGLIGENCE, SELF-SERVING AGENDA, AND POSSIBLE MALFEASANCE/UNJUST ENRICHMENT (hereinafter collectively referred to as "IMPOTENCE") OF THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT, PRO-ACTION CMKM SHAREHOLDERS HAVE BECOME FED UP, HAVE UNITED, AND HAVE FORMED THE CMKX SHAREHOLDERS COALITION FOR JUSTICE (hereinafter referred to as "COALITION") FOR THE PURPOSE OF UNCOVERING THE TRUTH PERTAINING TO CMKM DIAMONDS, INC., (CMKM), OF COERCING THE PERPETRATORS TO COMPENSATE THE MEMBERS OF THE COALITION FOR THE HARM THAT HAS BEEN

PERPETRATED AGAINST THEM, OF MAKING CERTAIN THAT SAID PERPETRATORS ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR MALFEASANCE, AND IN EXPOSING THE US GOVERNMENT AND THE US FINANCIAL MARKETS IN GENERAL AS BEING INEPT AND CORRUPT. FURTHERMORE, THE COALITION INTENDS TO FILE LAWSUITS, COMPLAINTS, GRIEVANCES, AND LEGAL NOTICES AGAINST ANY AND ALL PERPETRATORS, WHICH INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, THE DEPOSITORY TRUST AND CLEARING CORPORATION, HEDGE FUNDS, BROKERAGE FIRMS, MARKET MAKERS, BANKS, THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT, AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT.

On April 23rd, 2010, the COALITION sends a REQUIREMENT LETTER to the current CMKM management REQUIRING that the current CMKM management sends in a PACKET, certified mail, to the COALITION, within 30 days of receipt of said certified letter, ALL of its responses to the REQUIREMENTS listed in the REQUIREMENT LETTER.

<http://www.cmkx.info>

As of the date of filing this INTERIM COMPLAINT, May 11th, 2010, The COALITION has neither received a response from the current CMKM management nor does it expect to receive a response, and therefore feels that filing this INTERIM COMPLAINT is prudent.

When the 30 days have expired, the COALITION will file a COMPLAINT consisting of further evidence.

The COALITION further feels that the non-response of the current CMKM management points to the following obvious explanations:

1. the current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in exposing and taking ACTION against the perpetrators who/that were involved in the naked short selling (NSS) of CMKM's stock;
2. the current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in making certain that said perpetrators are held accountable for their malfeasance;
3. The current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in coercing the perpetrators to compensate the members of the COALITION for

the harm that has been perpetrated against them;

4. the current CMKM management has no plausible explanations for its IMPOTENCE and breaches of its fiduciary duties (hereinafter referred to as "BREACHES") which has led to a deluge of contradictions, inconsistencies, and discrepancies in its PRs, CEO Updates, and FAQs;

5. the current CMKM management might in fact be aiding and abetting/protecting the very perpetrators who/that were involved in the NSS of CMKM's stock;

6. the current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in exposing the US government and the US financial markets in general as being inept and corrupt.

*****BOTH THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT HAVE APPARENTLY FAILED TO CALL AN ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING THEREBY BREACHING CMKM'S BYLAWS, VIOLATING NRS 78.310-78.330, AND MAKING THEM GUILTY OF MISMANAGEMENT.**

CASE IN POINT

FACTS

According to the Ninth Cause of Action in Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-00215-RCJ-LRL, Hurd vs. CMKM Diamonds, Inc., February 19th, 2007, United States District Court, District of Nevada, the previous CMKM management failed to call an annual shareholder meeting thereby breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, and making it guilty of mismanagement.

The current CMKM management has also apparently failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, thereby also breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, and making it guilty of mismanagement.

According to the bylaws of CMKM:

1. Article I specifies that a shareholder meeting must take place annually;

2. Article II specifies that the term of each director shall expire on the day of the succeeding annual shareholder meeting.

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Urban Casavant announces that he steps down as "Chairman of the Board, sole Director, President, Secretary and Treasurer of CMKM Diamonds, Inc." due to "health issues" and appoints Kevin West, current interim CEO, a person with neither apparent business nor mining background, "to take over at the helm."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Kevin West, CMKM Chairman of the Board, announces, "the first order of new business was to hire the Frizzell Law Firm...in a quest to recover monies and assets that belong to the shareholders of this Company."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

Because Urban Casavant apparently breaches CMKM's bylaws, his appointment of Kevin West "to take over at the helm" is NULL AND VOID; and Kevin West's subsequent hiring of Bill Frizzell is NULL AND VOID; and because the current CMKM management has apparently failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, every decision it has made since then is NULL AND VOID.

QUESTIONS

Does the current CMKM management know the dates of the annual shareholder meetings of the previous CMKM management?

Is the current CMKM management aware that if the previous CMKM management had failed to call an annual shareholder meeting, Urban Casavant's appointment of Kevin West "to take over at the helm" and Kevin West's subsequent hiring of Bill Frizzell are both NULL AND VOID?

Has the current CMKM management called an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Is the current CMKM management aware that if it has failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception, every decision it has made since then is NULL AND VOID?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to notify CMKM shareholders that the previous CMKM management had failed to call an annual shareholder meeting?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for apparently failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF CMKM STOCK.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The current CMKM management repeatedly alleges that its examination shows "THERE IS NO TRUST FUND OR ANY MONEY SET ASIDE FOR SHAREHOLDERS" [emphasis added by author]

and its "INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS" [emphasis added by author] which contradicts Bivens Action, Civil Action No. 1000031, David Anderson, Lt. Col, et al, vs. Christopher Cox, et al, Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and for Damages for Violation of Civil Rights, January 4th, 2010, United States District Court, Central District of California, filed by A. Clifton Hodges, Esq., Hodges and Associates. (hereinafter referred to as the "Bivens Action")

<http://www.cmkx.info/Hodges-3.87Trillion-Bivens-Lawsuit.pdf>

FAQ Session #2: August 23rd, 2007

Q) Are the company's current activities delaying or hindering ANY payment to shareholders from any other source?

A) THERE IS NO TRUST FUND OR ANY MONEY SET ASIDE FOR SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] There have been rumors of payouts and distributions to shareholders since 2003. AFTER A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF BANK ACCOUNTS OF ALL COMPANY ACCOUNTS AND WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION TO THE SHAREHOLDERS. OUR INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT MR. WEST AND THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #3: September 6th, 2007

Q) Are there any payouts for "stock holders" [sic], or do we lose the money we invested? I hear rumors of payouts, but have no reason to believe them.

A) This question and several just like it have been answered many times in the past months. THE COMPANY KNOWS OF NO FUNDS THAT HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENT TO SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] For more information, please read the documents found on the Company website including the questions already asked and answered in this

FAQ section.

FAQ Session #4: September 20th, 2007

Q) What's really going on? This is weird. Lots of stuff doesn't make sense. When will we be paid?

A) You are being given the facts and the whole truths in the filings made in the State court of Nevada. After receiving literally tens of thousands of new documents in the past few months, the Company cannot find any proof that there was ever a legitimate effort made by former management to increase shareholder value. While shareholders were being dazzled with optimistic press releases, insiders were converting corporate funds into private investments, real estate, automobiles, motor homes, fancy dinners, gambling and too many other acts of corporate waste and embezzlement to name in this forum.

Once again, OUR VERY IN DEPTH RESEARCH CONCLUDES THAT ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDS HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENTS TO SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #5: October 15th, 2007

Q) I'm hearing from a source that I can't disclose that the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1 has money from the CMKX stocks that were sold. I researched it and the chairman is Robert Maheu and lawyer's group is Stoecklein. Is there any truth to this?

A) Our investigation suggests you are correct that Bob Maheu and Don Stoecklein are associated with the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1. There is no evidence that this fund has ever been involved in CMKM Diamonds, Inc. As answered many times in CEO updates and FAQ responses, neither Mr. Maheu nor Mr. Stoecklein are presently involved in any way with CMKM Diamonds, Inc. After extensive research and discovery of bank records, stock records and other documents, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ONE SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE SUGGESTION THAN ANY FUNDS WERE EVER SET ASIDE IN ANY MANNER OR FORM FOR CMKM SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] The greater portion of all funds that we have identified as money derived from the sale of company issued stock was converted to personal use by past insiders of this Company and not used for the business of the Company. There is no evidence of any profits or revenues from any business activity of the company.

FAQ Session #15: July 19th, 2008

Q) Is it true that CMKM has a new Board of Directors, the SEC has held our funds for two years and that Citibank brought in Maheu?

A) There is not one ounce of truth to such rumors. CMKM has one board member and one officer and that is Kevin West. THE SEC HAS NEVER HELD ANY FUNDS FOR CMKM AS A COMPANY OR FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] Citibank or any other corporation did not bring in Bob Maheu.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

BIVENS ACTION

Paragraph #33

During the period from November, 2004 through April, 2005, CMKM Diamonds, Inc. negotiated the sale of some of its Saskatchewan, Canada mineral claims to three Chinese domiciled corporation with the advice and consent, inter alia, of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Proceeds from the confirmation of such sales were placed into a FROZEN TRUST [emphasis added by author] for disbursal at a later time.

Paragraph #34

During the period from March 2004 through August 2006, on behalf of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. Robert A. Maheu, with assistance from others, NEGOTIATED A SETTLEMENT [emphasis added by author] with the illegitimate brokers, dealers, market makers, hedge funds, and other persons and entities that had engaged in naked short selling of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company. In exchange of a U.S. Government promise of no prosecution for such sales, THE WRONGDOERS EACH PROMISED TO PAY NEGOTIATED AMOUNTS TO A FROZEN TRUST FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME. [emphasis added by author]

Paragraph #35

Plaintiffs are herein informed and believe, and based thereon alleged, that other MONEYS HAVE BEEN COLLECTED FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE

SHAREHOLDERS OF CMKM, DIAMONDS INC. FROM THE DEPOSITORY TRUST CLEARING CORPORATION, FROM THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, AND FROM THE SALE OF ADDITIONAL ASSETS [emphasis added by author] including consent to enter into joint venture agreements with other companies holding mineral claims in Saskatchewan, Canada. Plaintiffs are herein further informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that said MONEYS, COLLECTED FOR THE BENEFIT OF SHAREHOLDERS HAVE ALSO BEEN PLACED IN A TRUST OR ARE OTHERWISE NOW HELD BY THE DEPOSITORY TRUST AND CLEARING CORPORATION AND THE UNITED STATES TREASURY. [emphasis added by author]

Paragraph #36

Plaintiffs are herein informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that at all times mentioned, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RESERVED UNTO ITSELF THE SOLE AND ABSOLUTE DISCRETION TO DETERMINE WHEN MONEYS COLLECTED PURSUANT TO THE SCHEME SET FORTH ABOVE COULD AND WOULD BE RELEASED FOR DISTRIBUTION. [emphasis added by author]

In the article, "CMKM Diamonds and the \$3.87 Trillion Lawsuit You Didn't Hear About," Al Hodges is quoted as saying:

“Rob Maheu had all these people in a big room in Las Vegas, and made [an] offer to them.”

“Every person, organization and representative in that room stepped up, and either transferred money while they were there, or agreed to transfer money upon some further schedule” to avoid indictment.”

“I have a witness who was there, who saw it, and part of the 2.25 trillion phantom shares is documented by that person’s observations of how many shares were represented in that room.”

<http://www.examiner.com/x-9341-Manhattan-Headlines-Examiner~y2010m3d30-CMKM-Diamonds-and-the-387-trillion-lawsuit-you-didnt-hear-about>

QUESTIONS

Why has the current CMKM management failed to issue a public statement concerning the landmark Bivens Action?

Is the current CMKM management's failure to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action an indication that it wants to keep a low profile?

Does the current CMKM management want to keep a low profile because said Bivens Action exposes it as apparently being guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

What did the current CMKM management's alleged examination and investigation into a TRUST FUND set aside for CMKM shareholders consist of?

Is the current CMKM management familiar with the quotes of Al Hodges in the article, "CMKM Diamonds and the \$3.87 Trillion Lawsuit You Didn't Hear About?"

Why did the current CMKM management's alleged examination and investigation fail to uncover Robert Maheu's actions as quoted by Al Hodges in said article?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the findings of its alleged examination and investigation THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS contradict the Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action who allege that MONEYS FROM SALES AND SETTLEMENTS WERE PLACED IN FROZEN TRUSTS FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile that contradiction?

Is the current CMKM management aware that its statement that THE SEC HAS NEVER HELD ANY FUNDS FOR CMKM AS A COMPANY OR FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS contradicts Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action who allege THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RESERVED UNTO ITSELF THE SOLE AND ABSOLUTE DISCRETION TO DETERMINE WHEN MONEYS COLLECTED PURSUANT TO THE SCHEME SET FORTH ABOVE COULD AND WOULD BE RELEASED FOR DISTRIBUTION?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile that contradiction?

Now that the current CMKM management is aware the Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action allege MONEYS FROM SALES AND SETTLEMENTS WERE PLACED

IN FROZEN TRUSTS FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME, is the current CMKM management going to conduct a full investigation?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to conduct an adequate examination and investigation into a TRUST FUND SET ASIDE AND MADE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO CMKM SHAREHOLDER?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action and by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

The current CMKM management repeatedly refers to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock (which denotes fraud, punitive, and RICO damages) as the legal failures to deliver (FTD) (which merely denotes bookkeeping errors) which contradicts said Bivens Action and the viewpoint of Bill Frizzell BEFORE he became CMKM attorney.

FAQ Session #2: August 23rd, 2007

Q) What is the status of Susanne Trimbath's evaluation of the Naked Short Position of the Company thus far?

Susanne Trimbath continues her work on our shareholder audit. The naked short situation and the DELIVERY FAILURES [emphasis added by author] are not a primary concern of management at this time. Keeping the Company alive

and returning to trading status is the focus of our work.

FAQ Session #6: October 30th, 2007

Q) Do we have undeniable proof that NSS has taken place and what is the count at this point in time?

A) The Company does have proof that there are FAILURES TO DELIVER [emphasis added by author] in CMKM stock. Until our shareholder audit is complete, we will not have a count as to how many shares or brokerage houses are involved.

FAQ Session #12: April 16th, 2008

Q) Is the naked short or fails to deliver in CMKX a dead issue?

A) The Company believes that a significant FAILURE TO DELIVER [emphasis added by author] problem still exists in our stock. We are devoting enormous efforts to rebuilding and restructuring the company with the ultimate goal of being a traded security once again.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's April 25th, 2005 email to Owners Group members BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"The company is (and has been) concerned about possible NAKED SHORTING [emphasis added by author] of company stock. A plan for assessing the NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position has been discussed. I cannot go into detail about the plan at this point. I can confirm that actions are contemplated which will identify the NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position which may exist..."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's September 9th, 2005 email to Owners Group members BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"...We proved a huge NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position in this company a long time ago...By my estimates there are at least a trillion and a half shares that have been sold in CMKX stock. When all shares (including

foreign and obo accounts) are added to the mix, the total could exceed two trillion shares."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's May 31st, 2006 letter to NASD BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"The Task Force has now received certificates in the name of certain brokerage companies. Our investigation reveals a potentially huge NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position in at least two of the very companies that have sent us certificates.

BIVENS ACTION

Paragraph #31

During the period of June 1, 2004 through October 28, 2005 a total of 2.25 Trillion "PHANTOM" [emphasis added by author] shares of CMKM Diamonds Inc., was sold into the public market through legitimate brokers, illegitimate brokers and dealers, market makers, hedge funds, ex-clearing transactions and private transactions. The sales of the majority of such shares were at all times known to the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Defendants herein.

Paragraph #32

At some date prior to June 1, 2004 the Securities and Exchange Commission in concert with the Department of Justice of the United States, together combined with Robert A. Maheu and others to utilize CMKM Diamonds, Inc. for the purpose of trapping a number of widely disbursed entities and persons who were believed to be engaged in NAKED SHORT SELLING [emphasis added by author] of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company...

Paragraph #34

During the period from March 2004 through August 2006, on behalf of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. Robert A. Maheu, with assistance from others, negotiated a settlement with the illegitimate brokers, dealers, market makers, hedge funds, and other persons and entities that had engaged in NAKED SHORT SELLING [emphasis added by author] of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company. In exchange of a U.S. Government promise of no prosecution for such

sales, the wrongdoers each promised to pay negotiated amounts to a FROZEN TRUST for disbursal at a later time. [emphasis added by author]

QUESTIONS

What has occurred since the current CMKM management's inception on March 30th, 2007 to cause the current CMKM management to begin referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal FTD?

Has the current CMKM management entered into an illegal agreement with the perpetrators -- the DTCC, the SEC, the brokers, the bankers, and the market makers -- to cover up the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock and replace it with the legal FTD?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it has failed to take any appropriate ACTION such as the Bivens Action?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it pursues low-return lawsuits against the previous CMKM management instead of pursuing high-return lawsuits against, the DTCC, the SEC, the hedge funds, the brokers, the bankers, and the market makers?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it has failed to bring CMKM to trade again, which, if done correctly, would force the naked short sellers to cover their positions and increase the price per share of CMKM stock?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal FTD?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action and by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

SUMMATION

The COALITION uses available facts in its INTERIM COMPLAINT to paint a very disturbing picture:

The current CMKM management has apparently entered into an illegal contract with one or more of the perpetrators -- the SEC, the DTCC, hedge funds, brokerage firms, market makers -- to the detriment of its fellow CMKM shareholders, the very people to whom it owes a fiduciary duty. That is akin to a brother who accepts hush money from the mugger who mugged his sister.

Furthermore, the current CMKM management appears to be guilty of mismanagement for breaching CMKM's bylaws and violating NRS 78.310-78.330 for failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007.

The COALITION is confident that when the Texas State Securities Board and the State Bar of Texas investigate the current CMKM management, it will uncover evidence that corroborates this INTERIM COMPLAINT.

The COALITION respectfully requests the following:

1. The appropriate agency ousts the current CMKM management and appoints a temporary Receiver until an annual shareholder meeting is called and bona fide CMKM shareholders get a chance to vote for each director;
2. The State Bar of Texas disbars Bill Frizzell for violating the Oath of Attorney.
3. The appropriate agencies file civil charges against each member of the current CMKM management.
4. The appropriate agencies file criminal charges against each member of the current CMKM management.

Thank you,

CMKX Shareholders Coalition for Justice

Dave Nelson

101-1865 Dilworth Drive

Suite 169

Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada

V1Y 9T1

cmkxshareholderscoalition@hotmail.com