

To Whom it May Concern:

Pro-ACTION shareholders of CMKM have established the COALITION for the particular purposes of uncovering the truth pertaining to CMKM, coercing the perpetrators to compensate CMKM shareholders for the harm that has been perpetrated against them, making certain that said perpetrators are held accountable for their malfeasance, and for the general purpose of forcing governmental agencies to comply with the true purpose of their existence.

On January 4, 2010, A. Clifton Hodges, Esq., Hodges and Associates, filed a 3.87 trillion dollar Bivens Action, Civil Action No. 1000031, David Anderson, Lt. Col, et al, vs. Christopher Cox, et al, Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and for Damages for Violation of Civil Rights in United States District Court, Central District of California (Bivens Action).

See Hodges and Associates Lawsuit, Bivens Action (01-08-10) on the COALITION website.

<http://cmkx.info/>

According to the Bivens Action, at some date prior to June 1, 2004, Robert A. Maheu, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Department of Justice, and the Department of Homeland Security allegedly used CMKM in a sting operation to trap a number of widely disbursed entities and persons, such as illegitimate brokers, dealers, market makers, and hedge funds, who were allegedly engaged in illegal naked short selling and cellar boxing the stock of CMKM.

Between March 2004 and August 2006, Robert A. Maheu, with assistance of others and on behalf of CMKM, allegedly reached a settlement with the perpetrators who had engaged in illegal naked short selling and cellar boxing the stock of CMKM. The US government promised that it would not prosecute the perpetrators in exchange for the promise of the perpetrators to pay negotiated amounts to a frozen trust for disbursement at a later time to CMKM shareholders.

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) allegedly controls said monies and refuses to release it to CMKM shareholders. The Depository Trust Clearing Corporation, the Federal Reserve, the United States Treasury and the Bank of America are the entities which allegedly hold and maintain said monies.

See Hodges and Associates INTERIM UPDATE (4-27-2010), Hodges-Obama Letter (05-14-2010), Hodges-Obama Letter (05-19-2010), Hodges-Obama Letter (05-20-2010), and Hodges-Obama Letter (05-27-2010) on the COALITION website.

<http://cmkx.info/>

The COALITION has filed complaints with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP), the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the US Department of Justice (DOJ), and the SEC. The COALITION has forwarded extensive evidence to both the Honourable Vic Toews, Minister of

Public Safety for Canada and to the Honourable Lawrence Cannon, Minister of Foreign Affairs in Canada, and has even contacted INTERPOL. Furthermore, many CMKM shareholders from the United States have contacted their representatives pertaining to CMKM.

See COALITION PR (08-24-10) PDF File, Letter to Canadian Parliament (06-2-2010), Letter to Lawrence Cannon (template), and Letter to the Honourable Vic Toews.

<http://cmkx.info/>

Moreover, the COALITION has even filed a complaint with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell, CMKM attorney.

See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10), COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CMKM MANAGEMENT-ADDENDUM (06-24-10), and COALITION's Response to Kevin West's June 25th, 2010, Letter, on the COALITION website.

<http://cmkx.info/>

And yet the COALITION has incredibly received no response from any of the entities to which/whom it has filed complaints and forwarded its extensive evidence which leads to the following disturbing realization: THE ENTITIES WHO ARE MANDATED TO PROTECT INVESTORS AND TO INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS ARE JUST AS INEPT AND CORRUPT AS THE PERPETRATORS, AND IN MANY INSTANCES THEY ACTUALLY AID AND ABET THE PERPETRATORS.

A case in point is Eagletech Communications (Eagletech) in which the perpetrators were found guilty of RICO charges in US District Court. But instead of pursuing the perpetrators, the SEC, as is its MO, deregisters the victim of the crime, Eagletech. And because Eagletech is not an isolated occurrence, but appears to be just one example of the SEC's ongoing and coordinated effort to violate securities and other laws, the SEC violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization (RICO) Act.

See BRIEF HISTORY OF SEC CORRUPTION on the COALITION website.

<http://cmkx.info/>

Because the SEC has breached its mandated duties by failing to protect investors and to investigate complaints, the COALITION filed on November 6th, 2009 a WRIT OF SUMMONS and STATEMENT OF CLAIM in the SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA against the SEC for the purpose of exposing the SEC for being inept in its handling of the previous CMKM management's pump and dump scam and for being corrupt in its handling of the illegal naked short selling (NSS) of CMKM's stock, which caused innocent CMKM shareholders to lose

hundreds of millions of dollars in the scam, an indeterminable amount of money in the NSS, and possibly their valuable mining claims in both the scam and the NSS.

See CMKX Shareholders Coalition for Justice vs. SEC (2/21/10) PDF File and COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SEC (11-06-09) on the COALITION website.

<http://cmkx.info/>

#### TIMELINE OF PAST AND CURRENT FRAUD BEING COMMITTED AGAINST CMKM SHAREHOLDERS:

1. Circa March 2009, the COALITION files complaints with the RCMP and FBI and presents its extensive evidence to those governmental entities. Furthermore, many CMKM shareholders from the United States contact their representatives pertaining to CMKM. Nobody responds.
2. Because those entities breached their mandated duties by failing to protect investors and to investigate complaints, the COALITION filed on November 6th, 2009, a WRIT OF SUMMONS and STATEMENT OF CLAIM in the SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA against the SEC for the purpose of exposing the SEC for being inept in its handling of the previous CMKM management's pump and dump scam and for being corrupt in its handling of the illegal naked short selling (NSS) of CMKM's stock, which caused innocent CMKM shareholders to lose hundreds of millions of dollars in the scam, an indeterminable amount of money in the NSS, and possibly their valuable mining claims in both the scam and the NSS.
3. On December 16th, Al Hodges pronounces to CMKM shareholders that Robert A. Maheu collected and set up under the auspices and approval of governmental agencies monies, funds, settlements and trusts (monies) earmarked for distribution to CMKM shareholders.
4. Circa December 2009, the US Treasury allegedly taxes those monies.
5. On January 4th 2010, Reece Hamilton (wyatt11\_11), one of the plaintiffs in the Bivens Action, proclaims the following in a public forum:

wyatt11\_11: AL HODGES IS NOT WAITING ON THE SEC TO DECIDE TO PAY US. THE TRUSTEE IS IN RECEIPT OF THE MONEY AND THEY WERE GIVEN THE ACCESS CODES PRIOR TO THE TRANSFER OF MONEY,

(8:18 PM) Gman\_G:man\_G: ty wyatt.....do we know what he is waiting on?

(8:18 PM) wyatt11\_11: THEY ARE WAITING ON A "ECONOMIC RECEIPT". CONFIRMING THE TRUSTEE IS IN ACTUAL PHYSICAL CONTROL OF THE MONEY

(8:19 PM) Gman\_G: Gman\_G: so wyatt we are waiting for that receipt so the button can be pressed right?

(8:20 PM) wyatt11\_11: ONCE THE TRUSTEE HAS CONTROL THEN AL WILL BE ABLE TO SPEAK MORE FREELY

(8:22 PM) wyatt11\_11: PEOPLE WE ARE AT THE END. I WOULD LOOK FOR TYLER TO ANNOUNCE THE NEW TA AND SOMETHING ABOUT "1010" AND NEW CORP. CLARK AND AL WILL BE SPEAKING AT THE SAME TIME. THIS UPDATE IS JUST THE BEGINING THERE IS NO TURNING BACK.

(8:23 PM) Gman\_G: so wyatt do you see them releasing the funds once they get this economic receipt?

(8:24 PM) wyatt11\_11: THIS RUNS THROUGHOUT THE HIGHEST LEVELS OF OUR GOVT. AL HAD REASSURED ME NO MORE THAN 10 MINS AGO THAT ALL WAS GOOD AND THAT HE COULD NOT ELABORATE ANYMORE DUE TO NATIONAL SECURITY. SO PLEASE STAY GROUNDED FOCUSED AND BE KIND TO YOUR FELLOW "MILLIONAIRE"

(8:35 PM) wyatt11\_11: THE TRUSTEE WAS PAID IN 09 FOR TAX PURPOSES SO WE NEED PAID IN JAN

(8:40 PM) Gman\_G: (8:39 PM) BullDawg: (8:33 PM) wyatt11\_11: IMO.54 TO START

wyatt11\_11: I HEARD THIS CAME FROM SOMEONE HIGH UP1, SETTLEMENT 2, BUYOUT 3, TRADING

(8:40 PM) dugg33: wyatt11\_11: THE TRUSTEE WAS PAID IN 09 FOR TAX PURPOSES SO WE NEED PAID IN JAN

6. On January 4, 2010, Al Hodges files the Bivens Action which includes seven plaintiffs. Al Hodges announces in public forums that all shareholders are represented in the Bivens Action. The following is a COALITION PR pertaining to the Bivens Action:

Bivens Class Action law suit seeking \$3.87 trillion in damages was filed on January 4, 2010 against five present and five past Security and Exchange Commission commissioners. A. Clifton Hodges of Hodges and Associates, Pasadena, Ca, filed the suit on behalf of seven named plaintiffs and "all others similarly situated".

The suit alleges CMKM Diamonds, Inc. was used as a vehicle in a joint sting operation conducted by the SEC, the Department of Justice (DoJ) of the United States, Robert A. Maheu and others. The suit contends between June 1, 2004 and October 28, 2005 "a total of 2.25 trillion 'phantom' shares of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. were sold into the public market through legitimate brokers, illegitimate brokers and dealers, market-makers, hedge funds, ex clearing transactions and private transactions."

The class action suit further alleges the 'Securities and Exchange Commission and the Department of Justice, with assistance from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), believed and developed evidence that said short sellers were utilizing their activities to illegally launder moneys, wrongfully export moneys, avoid payment of taxes, and to support terrorist operations.'

The twenty-page complaint states the SEC, DoJ and the DHS, "consented to, facilitated and supported the conferences between Robert A. Maheu and his associates on the one hand and the wrongdoing short sellers on the other, all for the purpose of settling the potential liability of said wrongdoers with consent of the US Government and a representation of no criminal prosecution for such illegal sales."

According to Al Hodges filing, between March 2004 and August 2006 a settlement was reached on behalf of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. by Maheu, with assistance from others, and the alleged wrongdoers who had engaged in naked short selling of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company. "In exchange for a US Government promise of no prosecution for such sales, the wrongdoers each promised to pay negotiated amounts to a frozen trust for disbursal at a later time."

The suit contends these monies and other monies resulting from the sale of claims to foreign entities were collected for the benefit of the shareholders of CMKM Diamonds Inc., and are being held in a trust, or held in trust by the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation and the United States Treasury.

The \$3.87 trillion dollar lawsuit states demands for the release of said monies has been "repeatedly" presented to the SEC and 'agents and employees of the SEC and the DoJ have represented repeatedly that the release of the monies was imminent."

The Al Hodges complaint charges, "As a result of the Defendant's misconduct, each of the named plaintiffs and all of those similarly situated, have been denied their Constitutional rights, including, but not limited to, their Fifth Amendment right to be secure in their property, free from taking without just compensation and without due process of law, and have suffered injuries and property loss in excess of Three Trillion Dollars."

7. On February 4, 2010, the COALITION petitions the Supreme Court of British Columbia for a default judgement against the SEC. The Court decided the COALITION would have to re-serve the SEC before the Writ of Summons and Statement of Claim against the SEC could move forward. Because the release of monies earmarked for distribution to CMKM shareholders appeared to be imminent, the COALITION dropped its Writ against the SEC. The COALITION respectfully requested that the Court demand that said monies be released to CMKM shareholders. The Court denied the request.

8. From February 4, 2010, to February 15, 2010, Al Hodges proclaims in the public forums that monies earmarked for distribution to CMKM shareholders had to be released within the 90 days that the monies were taxed, and that the entities that failed to do so would be violating intrnational laws.

9. Al Hodges's February 26, 2010, letter to Andrew Cuomo, Attorney General of New York:

Attorney General of the State of New York

120 Broadway, 23rd Floor

New York, NY 10001

Dear Ms. Brown:

Thank you for speaking with me earlier today and explaining that Mr. Markowitz was out for the rest of the day. We discussed briefly the nature of my request and you suggested that I forward the appropriate information to your attention via e-mail for Mr. Markowitz's review on his return Monday, March 1, 2010. The information is as follows:

- I am a California trial attorney with some 40 years experience in State and Federal Court, as well as other jurisdictions.
- In January of this year I filed a Bivens Class Action against the five sitting SEC Commissions and five past SEC Commissioners seeking some 3.87 Trillion Dollars in damages for the taking of property by unconstitutionally withholding consent to distribute such sums as had previously been collected for the benefit of 50,000 + shareholders of CMKM Diamonds, Inc.; a conformed copy of the complaint is attached.
- The SEC Office of General Counsel has agreed to accept service on behalf of the sitting Commissioners; the other commissioners are currently being served.
- The weight of opinion is that this litigation will not be allowed to proceed into the discovery stages and/or to trial; there is mounting evidence that a distribution of funds to the shareholders is on the near horizon.
- I am advised that a portion of trust funds previously ear-marked for distribution to support the U.S. Domestic Settlement Fund Program currently in process were distributed to the United States Treasury facility in New York City on December 31, 2009 through and with the assistance of the New York Federal Reserve Bank in New York City.

- I am advised that these trust funds totaled 4.2 Trillion Dollars and were paid into the U.S. Treasury as and for taxes due to be paid from the trust(s) upon distribution of the trust assets.
- I am further advised that pursuant to Federal Banking Regulations, New York State Banking Regulations, and the Martin Act, inter alia, the transferred funds could be held without return for a maximum period of time under any circumstances for forty-five days or until midnight February 14, 2010.
- I am further advised that the U.S. Treasury has not remitted these funds, is still possessed of these funds and more importantly the trust(s) assets have not been distributed.
- The above circumstances, upon proof, demonstrate serious criminal violations of the statutes referred to above.
- I represent, at least as the Class Counsel, a number of New York residents who are beneficiaries of these trust(s) and are among the 50,000 + shareholders. I know many of these people on a personal basis in addition to being their counsel of record and can attest to their severe and continuing damage suffered and being suffered as a result of the non-distribution and non-receipt of the afore mentioned trust assets; some of them are also anxious to visit you in person and describe their continuing outrage.

Demand is hereby made that your office initiate, at the earliest possible time, an investigation into the criminal activities of those persons within your jurisdiction whom have contributed to and otherwise facilitated these criminal acts. I would be happy to discuss these facts with you at your early convenience. Please feel free to contact me directly at: (626) 564-9797. Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.

10. On March 30, 2010, Al Hodges is interviewed by the Manhattan Examiner in which he is quoted: "They [the government] used the shareholders without their consent to perform this 'sting operation' for National Security interests, and it wouldn't have worked the way it worked if they had disclosed it. On the other hand, it isn't right to bury a company and put them out of business for the purpose of trapping people who are using the company to cheat the government, to line their own pockets, and to fund their operations against the United States. As noted above in complaint paragraph 34, and per Hodges, a deal was eventually reached with the aforementioned criminals; they paid the government restitution for documented illegal actions, and in turn, were offered immunity from prosecution. Rob Maheu had all these people in a big room in Las Vegas, and made [an] offer to them. Every person, organization and representative in that room stepped up, and either transferred money while they were there, or agreed to transfer money upon some further schedule to avoid indictment." Hodges also said, "I have a witness who was there, who saw it, and part of the 2.25 trillion phantom shares is documented by that person's observations of how many shares were represented in that room.

HOW MUCH MONEY DID THE FEDS REALLY COLLECT FOR RESTITUTION?" "People are going to laugh and titter about the amount of money that is being claimed, but understand the context of the lawsuit," he said, before concluding, "we are not asking the government to pay us \$3.87 trillion, what we're asking is for them to release the funds that have been collected for us." Thus, the implication is that this sum also incorporates substantial punitive damages. In the end, Hodges believes the U.S. government is going to settle the case before it actually moves to trial. On this possibility, he said, "I think its in the process of happening as we speak."

#### 11. Hodges and Associates INTERIM UPDATE (04-27-2010):

I have previously stated that I would not provide an "update" until we had confirmation of economic receipt or, I became convinced that payment to CMKX shareholders would not be forthcoming in a timely manner. This "Interim Update" is the exception that proves the rule, so to speak. However, it has been a relatively long period [certainly more than I originally anticipated] since I communicated with the shareholders at large, and there is material information to impart.

Before providing some information about what has been transpiring and our current status, let me address a few other matters:

- I am an attorney and have been retained by seven brave and exceptional shareholders that represent a cross section of the proposed class.
- The Bivens action which I have filed not only sets forth the facts as I know them, it seeks to become a class-action on behalf of all shareholders.
- At this instant in time however, I literally represent only the seven named plaintiffs; as a result, I am constrained in the types and extent of information which I can provide to putative members of the class.
- In the unlikely event that this litigation continues forward, a motion will be filed with the Court requesting that a class be certified. If granted, at that point I will, presumably, be appointed by the Court to continue acting as counsel for the class; thereafter, the Court will be required to approve any and all settlements, and others matters.
- The status of the litigation can at all times be monitored on PACER; as a result, we do not respond to inquiries at my office; accordingly, please do not contact us directly for such information.
- For everyone's information, all defendant's have been served, and an initial scheduling conference, originally set by the Court to be held on April 26, 2010 has been continued by mutual agreement of the parties [with consent of the Court] to July 26, 2010.

- The litigation will be aggressively pursued until such time as all CMKX shareholders have been paid.

In a similar vein, please understand that we are not involved in any ongoing negotiations with the SEC and/or their representatives with a view to compromising and/or otherwise attempting to resolve claims of the shareholders. We have set forth in the complaint what the shareholders are entitled to receive; compromise is not anything that the shareholders want, desire, or would tolerate or accept.

The belief of some that yelling and screaming, loudly and publicly enough, can somehow “force” release of the CMKX moneys, is erroneous and misplaced; it will not. Having said that, I do believe that media exposure is beneficial in the sense that it keeps the pressure on the entire financial community.

Inquiring minds want to know: what is our status; what has been going on; what is holding up economic receipt; when will our money be released; and how much money is there? Let me address each of these questions, to the extent I am able, separately:

1. How Much Money – As I have said before, we have persuasive evidence to all of the facts alleged as such in the complaint; accordingly, there is a total of 3.87 Trillion Dollars.
2. When is Release – The CMKX distribution funds will be released within a very short time after there is confirmation of Economic Receipt. What does that mean? It means that currently in process is a massive shift of wealth within the US and the world community; that includes: pay out of all the domestic settlements; institution of the US dollar re-funding project; pay out of world settlements; and, distribution of funds to many other programs. This involves a total of more than \$ 42 Trillion. Economic Receipt occurs when all trustees have access to all of the funds they are responsible for.
3. Our Status – We are literally on the thresh-hold. This means that the “work” remaining to be finished will not consume more hours than can be accomplished within one day. We have been at this point now for more than a few weeks.
4. The Delay – Although I could write a book about what’s been going on behind the scenes to cause this additional delay, I’ll try to give you the condensed version:

First - Please be aware and understand that there is an economic war raging in the background.

Second - The naked corruption that is endemic in D.C. is more than most can comprehend; it is clear that these miscreants have no regard for the US Constitution, Federal Laws and Regulations, nor even any sense of simple morality. They are convinced that they are above any constraint that might apply to lesser mortals and that no enforcement activity will ever

successfully address them. I hasten to add that such opinions are not universal; having said that, it is more widespread than not.

Third - These miscreants are, in effect, fighting for their lives – at least that part of their lives that establishes an environment in which they can continue to lie, cheat, steal, and mortgage your progeny's lives, all for their personal gain. Accordingly, they will fight until the doors are all closed by a power that they cannot subvert. That fight continues as I prepare this interim update.

Fourth - The good news is, we are winning the battle. The circle within which these bad apples can operate draws inexorably smaller with each attempt to bribe, suborn and otherwise corrupt the system, and the people within it. By way of example, I was advised that over the weekend one State Department person, 10 bankers and 18 Federal Reserve people were arrested and dealt with.

Fifth – By what date will we have Economic Receipt, you ask. We will have it when this initial battle phase comes to a successful conclusion. That will be in the very near future in my opinion; the current schedule based on advice I received this afternoon is that it should all be finished, with funds in the Trustee's hands, by week's end.

I want to extend our heartfelt thanks to those of you who continue to demonstrate support and encouragement for our efforts. We remain very optimistic for the long term. Rest assured that the fight will continue, and we will prevail.

12. Al Hodges's May 20, 2010, letter to President Obama:

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES  
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION  
4 EAST HOLLY STREET  
SUITE 202  
PASADENA  
CA 91103

Telephone: (626) 564-9797

Facsimile: (626) 564-9111

A. Clifton Hodges  
James S. Kostas  
Donald W. Ricketts\*  
Of Counsel

May 20, 2010

MOST URGENT

VIA FACSIMILE ONLY (202) 456-2461

Honorable Barack Obama  
President of the United States of America  
Whitehouse  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. President:

I write to you again this morning because your immediate personal assistance is required to ensure prompt dissemination of the World Global Settlements.

As I have previously stated, I represent some 50,000 shareholders who are to be paid a settlement which consists mainly of monies collected from banks, brokerages, hedge fund corps, market makers, the Depository Trust Corporation/Federal Reserve, and various billionaire "naked-shorter" individuals, as well as some monies due from the SEC for damages. I have also been involved in the representation of other payees awaiting this distribution and have, in such capacity, been in direct communication with the UK Royal Monarch.

I am currently advised and understand the following:

- A portion of the World Global Settlement funds have been collected and are presently held in the custody of the Bank of America in Richmond, VA.
- Said funds are sufficient to cover all disbursements to be made by the authority of the Paymaster who has now spent more than eight weeks over the past three months, in Richmond, VA, for the purpose of concluding these transfers.
- The Paymaster authority has, at the direction of the Pentagon, London, et. al., recently returned to Richmond to consummate the transfers; he was advised yesterday morning at Bank of America that the bank could not allow the transfers to be made until one additional signature was obtained.
- Accordingly, on May 19, 2010 an agent of Interpol began a hand-carry trip through Little Rock, Arkansas, to Charleston, South Carolina, and then on to Richmond, Virginia; the hand-carried item was presented to the Bank of America officer this morning.
- The Bank of America officer then advised the Paymaster authority that Mr. Leon Panetta had instructed Bank of America that no World Global Settlement funds were to be disbursed without express personal approval from the President of the United States.

- I have previously been advised that you had given specific written authorization of these transfers when you visited the Richmond Bank of America several weeks ago.

As I advised yesterday in my communication to you, I am persuaded by these facts, that only your direct intervention will be efficacious in bringing this matter to conclusion.

Mr. President, please provide, once again, your specific written authority and direction to those who continue to frustrate completion of these World Global Settlements.

I would very much appreciate your written confirmation that you will do so without delay; accordingly, I will withhold further communication to the UK Royal Monarch and distribution of this correspondence to my clients until 4:30 PM EDT today.

Mr. President, the people elected you for reasons of your promises, your apparent integrity, your conviction to help the American people uphold justice, and to return this Nation to its pre-eminent world status. I implore you to use your good offices to ensure these "Settlements" are disseminated without further delay.

Sincerely,

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES

[Signed]

A. CLIFTON HODGES

ACH/gm

Cc: Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II

Lindell H. Bonney, Sr.

Clients

Bcc: Michael Cottrell, B.A., M.S.

13. Al Hodges's May 27, 2010, letter to President Obama:

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES  
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION  
4 EAST HOLLY STREET  
SUITE 202  
PASADENA  
CA 91103

Telephone: (626) 564-9797

Facsimile: (626) 564-9111

A. Clifton Hodges  
James S. Kostas  
Donald W. Ricketts\*  
Of Counsel

May 27, 2010

MOST URGENT  
VIA FACSIMILE ONLY (202) 456-2461

Honorable Barack Obama  
President of the United States of America  
Whitehouse  
Washington, D.C.

In re: World Global Settlements

Dear Mr. President:

I write to you again this afternoon in furtherance of my previous recent correspondence regarding prompt dissemination of the World Global Settlements.

As I have previously stated, I represent some 50,000 shareholders who are to be paid a settlement which consists mainly of monies collected from banks, brokerages, hedge fund corps, market makers, the Depository Trust Corporation/Federal Reserve, and various billionaire "naked-shorter" individuals, as well as some monies due from the SEC for damages. I have also been involved in the representation of other payees awaiting this distribution and have, in such capacity, been in direct communication with the UK Royal Monarch.

I am currently advised and understand the following:

- A portion of the World Global Settlement funds have been collected and are presently held in the custody of the Bank of America in Richmond, VA.
- Said funds are sufficient to cover all disbursements to be made by the authority of the Paymaster who has now spent more than eight weeks over the past three months, in Richmond, for the purpose of concluding these transfers.
- The Paymaster authority has, at the direction of the Pentagon, London, et. al., been present at the

Bank in Richmond every day this week to complete the transfers.

- This morning he was advised by “both sides” that each desired this matter to be concluded as soon as possible and that he should therefore remain available to enter the Bank and consummate the transfers.
- As of 6:00 PM EDT, the Paymaster authority personally advised me that you personally, Mr. President, wanted and had directed that these funds be held throughout the coming Holiday weekend.
- I have previously been advised that you had given specific written authorization of these transfers and confirmed the same verbally just this week.

Mr. President, I sincerely hope that my information is incorrect; because, as I am certain that you are aware, your personal involvement in delaying this distribution is an ultra vires act which exposes you to personal liability for the sums involved and for accruing interest thereon. I would certainly not want to see you personally involved in the future denouement of this matter.

As I have previously advised in my communications to you, only your direct intervention will be efficacious in bringing this matter to conclusion. Mr. President, I implore you to facilitate conclusion of this matter forthwith; there is simply no legal basis for any further delay.

Please act consonantly with your previous statements and promises.

I would very much appreciate your written confirmation that you will do so immediately; accordingly, I will withhold public distribution of this correspondence until 8:30 PM EDT today.

Sincerely,

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES

A. CLIFTON HODGES

ACH/gm

Cc: Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II

Lindell H. Bonney, Sr.

Clients

Bcc: Michael C. Cottrell, BA. MS

14. Al Hodges's May 28, 2010, letter to Christopher Geidt:

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES  
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION  
4 EAST HOLLY STREET  
SUITE 202  
PASADENA  
CA 91103

Telephone: (626) 564-9797

Facsimile: (626) 564-9111

A. Clifton Hodges  
James S. Kostas  
Donald W. Ricketts\*  
Of Counsel

28 May, 2010

MOST URGENT  
Hand Delivered

The Rt. Hon. Christopher Geidt, CVO, OBE  
Buckingham Palace  
London SW1A 1AA

Re: U.S. Dollar Refunding Project

Dear Honorable Christopher Geidt:

I most recently wrote to you on May 26, 2010 to solicit the assistance of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II in securing the release of funds being held in the U.S. which are required for implementation of the U.S. Dollar Refunding Project. I write to you again in furtherance to that subject, on behalf of my clients Michael C. Cottrell, B.A., M.S., of Erie, Pennsylvania, USA, and his corporations: Pennsylvania Investments, Inc., registered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and Cottrell Securities Limited, registered in England and Wales.

As of the afternoon of May 28, 2010, I am currently advised and understand the following:

- World Global Settlement funds have been collected and remain in the custody of the Bank of America in Richmond, Virginia.
- Said funds are sufficient to cover all disbursements to be made by the authority of the Paymaster who

has now spent more than eight weeks over the past three months, in Richmond, VA, for the purpose of concluding these transfers in accord with the BASEL agenda.

- I became aware on May 27, 2010 that President Barack Obama had personally intervened in the scheduled May 27 release of funds, and had instructed that the funds be held until after the U.S. Memorial Day Holiday.
- As any further delay in disbursement of these funds will engender considerable harm to many, and is without any legal basis, I wrote to President Obama putting him on notice and soliciting his cooperation. [A copy of that letter is attached].
- My letter to President Obama was distributed to all parties dealing with the World Global Settlement funds, to both political parties in Washington, D.C., to the Democratic Caucus and its counsel, to the Black Caucus and its counsel, and to President Obama's priest.

The letter was also submitted to the British Royal Monarchal Power through your good offices; Mr. L.H. Bonney, Sr. has also verified that a copy of the letter was submitted to, and received by, Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II through MI-5 and MI-6.

- Counsel for the Black Caucus immediately recognized that a criminal offense had been committed; he advised that he would directly inform the President by reading the letter to him on Air Force One today, as well as advise the President of his personal responsibility, over the four day weekend, for costs in the "Billions of USD". Said counsel also stated that "if release [of the funds] was not taken care of today – they [the Black Caucus] would wash their hands of him [President Obama]".

- Vice President Biden was also informed of the May 27, 2010 letter, provided a copy, and discussed the veracity of President Obama taking directions from former President G.H.W. Bush; he indicated that President Obama's citizenship status was being used as very effective leverage against the President.

- Vice President Biden also admitted that he was personally compromised, and therefore unqualified to succeed President Obama in the event that the President's tenure is attacked.

| • It now appears that it is only a matter of time before formal process is instituted to remove President Obama from office; however the "Succession List" has now been severely compromised by the failure to complete distribution of the subject funds.

- I was advised at noon time this date that the on-site Paymaster authority, Mr. L.H. Bonney, Sr, had confirmed at Bank of America that no communication had been received from President Obama regarding authorization for release of the Settlement funds; accordingly, he was returning to Ohio.

- Prior to Mr. Bonney's departure he further advised that all collected funds were in a "locked-down" mode, and that all else is now in written form for further use in resolving the issue of final distribution of

these Settlement funds.

[Insertion by the Editor:

However \$1.8 trillion was stolen from the funds as will be reported in the subsequent analysis].

As I have previously indicated, I am persuaded by these facts, that only the direct intervention of the Royal Monarchal Power will be efficacious in bringing this matter to conclusion. To secure release of these Settlement funds, it is now imperative that the Royal Monarchal Power exercise that power, as a U.S. Treasury lien-holder, to effectuate timely resolution.

Any further delay will not only jeopardize the severely stressed world financial condition, but will certainly serve to encourage those seeking even further delay.

This is a matter which now clearly seems can only be concluded at such time as the Royal Monarchal Power utilizes the power which has been granted, to effect closure through direct means. I apologize in advance for having to involve you further in this situation; however, circumstances dictate that direct intervention is now an imperative.

Thank you very kindly in advance for your help; it is truly appreciated by many, and will indeed have a very significant impact on the future financial health of the world.

Sincerely,

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES

A. CLIFTON HODGES

ACH/gm

Enclosures

Cc: Michael C. Cottrell, B.A., M.S.

Lindell H. Bonney, Sr.

[Christopher Story](#) FRSA

15. Al Hodges's June 10 2010, letter to Timothy A. Williams, Director of interpol:

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES  
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION  
4 EAST HOLLY STREET  
SUITE 202  
PASADENA  
CA 91103

Telephone: (626) 564-9797

Facsimile: (626) 564-9111

A. Clifton Hodges  
James S. Kostas  
Donald W. Ricketts\*  
Of Counsel  
June 10, 2010

MOST URGENT  
Sent Facsimile  
and U.S. MAIL

Mr. Timothy A. Williams  
Director  
INTERPOL Washington  
United States National Central Bureau  
Washington D.C. 20530

Re: World Global Settlements

Dear Mr. Williams

I write to you on a most urgent basis to solicit the assistance of INTERPOL in securing the release of funds now being held in the U.S. for distribution to some 20 line item trustees/payees as defined by the recent BASEL conferees, which distribution has been pending now since January, 2010.

I write to you as counsel for Michael C. Cottrell, B.A., M.S., of Erie, Pennsylvania, USA, and his corporations: Pennsylvania Investments, Inc., registered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and Cottrell Securities Limited, registered in England and Wales, and as counsel for some 50,000 shareholders of CMKM Diamonds, Inc.

As of noon time on this date, I am advised and understand the following:

- World Global Settlement funds have been collected and remain, inter alia, in the custody of the Bank of America in Richmond, Virginia.
- Funds sufficient to cover all disbursements to be made by the authority of the Paymaster have been confirmed this date to remain in the custody of Bank of America.
- The Paymaster authority, Mr. Lindell H. Bonney, Sr., has spent more than eight weeks over the past

three months, in Richmond, for the purpose of concluding these transfers in accord with the BASEL agenda.

- Mr. Bonney and his associates have returned to Richmond this date for the purpose, again, of concluding these transactions; they were then advised by the U.S. Senate Banking Committee Chairman and the U.S. Senate Finance Committee that such transfers could not proceed as they continue to be blocked by Mr. Leon Panetta, among others.
- I have previously written to President Barack Obama and to Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth II; copies of this correspondence are attached hereto for your information and review.
- Any further delay in disbursement of these funds will engender considerable harm to many, and is without any legal basis. I hereby urge your assistance and request intervention by the several plane loads of INTERPOL agents who have been sworn to assist in ferreting out financial misdeeds, and bringing the miscreants to justice.

I am persuaded by these facts, that the direct intervention of INTERPOL is absolutely required, from this time forward, to assist the Paymaster authority in fulfilling his instructions to finish these settlement payments, and to finally bring this matter to conclusion. Release of these Settlement funds, which has now been delayed for nearly six months, must be made forthwith.

It is now imperative that this matter be concluded; further delay is simply unacceptable. Such delay not only puts all of us in jeopardy, it encourages and emboldens those who seek to destroy not only these Settlements but the entire world structure.

I respectfully demand that INTERPOL act consistent with the charter given to them by President Obama in his December, 2009 Executive Order, and subsequently by the Attorney General of the United States. Circumstances now dictate that direct intervention is a must. Thank you in advance for your help, and your willingness to support the U.S. Constitution; it is appreciated by many, and will indeed have a significant impact on the future financial health of the world.

Sincerely,

HODGES AND ASSOCIATES

A. CLIFTON HODGES

Enclosures:

Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II; dated April 26, 2010

His Royal Highness the Duke of Edinburgh; dated April 28, 2010

President Barack Obama; dated May 14, 2010

President Barack Obama; dated May 19, 2010

President Barack Obama; dated May 20, 2010  
The Rt. Hon. Christopher Geidt; dated May 21, 2010  
The Rt. Hon. Christopher Geidt; dated May 26, 2010  
President Barack Obama; dated May 27, 2010  
The Rt. Hon. Christopher Geidt; dated May 28, 2010

Cc: LaTonya Miller, Public Affairs, USNCB  
Lindell H. Bonney, Sr.  
Dana Wilcox  
Michael C. Cottrell, B.A., M.S.  
President Barack Obama  
Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II  
David Cameron, UK Prime Minister.

16. On August 2, 2010, appears in US District Court, Central District of California regarding the Motion to Dismiss the Bivens Action filed by the Defendants. The defendants were represented by DOJ lawyer Keith Staub.

AH: Good Afternoon your Honor, A. Clifton Hodges on behalf of the plaintiffs, two of whom are in the courtroom today, Mr. Hamilton and Mr. \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: Good afternoon, welcome.

SEC; Good afternoon your Honor, this is U.S. Attorney Keith Stavron (??) on behalf of the Federal defense.

Judge: Good afternoon Have you had a chance to review the tentative ??

Both say yes your honor

Judge: Mr. Hodges I think I would like to hear from you first please

AH: your Honor, first of all let me concede the point raised in your tentative that this is not your usual Bivens case. That is clear for everyone I think. As a house keeping matter on page one there is a typographical error, in the middle paragraph the Administrative Law Judge's finding was in 2005 not in 2010.

Judge: Thank you.

AH: Having said that, let me refer you to the second issue raised by the government first. It asks the question whether or not there are property rights at issue in this case. And very simply what we have alleged is , let me back up a second. We have alleged a scheme, in effect a sting operation, judged from the outside not from the inside. Basically the sting operation was an operation put into effect through the Office of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and the SEC Commissioners.

What we have alleged is that the SEC Commissioners as opposed to the Agency itself, coordinated with these other institutions and at their request and in concert with them began a program, whereby, this company was raided.

The SEC Commission was fully aware, at all times, of the amount of naked shorting going on in this company.

The then Chairman of the commission has been quoted on several occasions as saying this was the most heavily naked shorted company in the history of the world. As we have alleged in our complaint one day, which I believe was in April 2005, some more than 90 billion shares of this company were traded in one day. I have testimony from, which is not alluded to in our current complaint, but I can provide testimony from registered NSASDA companies, that were in business at this time, who report that they were told "it's free money". You can sell as many shares as you can find buyers for and put all of the money in your pocket. You don't ever have to buy the share.

They were on a no borrow list to begin with, at that point in time, which was in 2005 primarily. And if you were going to borrow shares as a legitimate broker in that point in time, they had a \$2.50 requirement for borrowing. You can imagine with some, I think they averaged during that time 17 billion shares a day being sold, this is an enormous amount of money for people to be borrowing shares to be sold into the market. They were being sold for nothing, that is how they drove this company into the ground.

They did it because there was evidence by the government, and by others, associated both directly and indirectly with the government, that this money was being sent offshore. It was being accumulated by hedge funds offshore, it was being sent to Iraq, it was being sent to Iran, it was being sent to Afghanistan, it was being sent to Hezbollah, this was one of the means in which these terrorist organizations were utilizing them to fund their operations.

Having said that, I recognized when I prepared this complaint at the time the company was being de-listed, and the time this original agreement was made, we did not have a basis to sue the SEC, the SEC commissioners, or anybody else. Because in fact, as the Court correctly points out, in regard to (quoted a case) it said the shareholders don't have a right, they don't have a property interest. If they did not have that right at the time the agreement was made, at the time the original ultra \_\_\_\_\_ criminal acts by these Commissioners took place.

However, what this complaint speaks to is at quite a later date after the company was de-listed in October of 2005, and they stipulated to that delisting, Then we go forward and what immediately happened was a Task Force, including one primary and past board of director members, Mr. Bob Mahue, who is no longer with us unfortunately, became the head of that Task Force. His appointed duties, and the Task Force appointed duties, were to have the shareholders pull copies of their shares, pull certificates for every share that was legitimately then owned because it had been bought and paid for, and based upon that share certificate pull, then turn around and liquidate the company.

At the time the company decided it was going to liquidate itself and distribute its remaining assets to its shareholders the property rights attached to each of the shareholders because at that point in time, this was in early 2006, they had a right to believe that what was in their future was a distribution, a prorated per share distribution of the assets that the company then owned. The company then owned all of these monies that had been accumulated and put into trusts. The company also owned shares of stock in a company called Entourage and they had other assets. They did not have any substantial liabilities.

So the share holders, from that point forward, had a property right that is protectable under the Constitution. It is that claim that we are basing our complaint. Having said that, once we get past the property rights issue, I certainly understand the Courts concern and I have reviewed my complaint, about, perhaps the use of some in artful language when I referred to the SEC rather than specifying that it was the SEC commissioners that we are aiming this at.

The reason we are aiming only at the SEC commissioners is because under the statutory scheme that was set up after the Great Depression, the SEC commission and commissioners individually have the sole and exclusive right to make the decisions. For example with this firm, when this company was de-listed in October of 2005, it was pursuant to an Administrative Law Hearing that took place here in Los Angeles, a full day down in Federal Court, that I attended.

The Administrative Law Judge then rendered a tentative decision. It was her decision but it was tentative in the sense that it had no power and had no effect. The only time that it became effective was when the company became de-listed on October 24th or 25th of that year when the Commission met and together agreed that this company should be de-listed.

They are the only people who have the power to make these kinds of decisions. They are the people who spoke to the other governmental agencies and to the people representing, at least ostensibly the company at that point in time, with this agreement to utilize this company without knowledge to the shareholders as part of a sting operation to trap all of these hedge fund people. That started way back in 2004.

But it was those commissioners acting in an improper and \_\_\_\_\_ and probably criminal way because their mandate under the law is to protect the shareholders. They were doing exactly the opposite. They were entering into an agreement they knew was going to damage the shareholders, it was going to drive this company out of business, which it did, and without notice in a big secret. It was only those commissioners who took that action that we are aiming this complaint at.

We have named the commissioners that have sat since that time because it is our position that having denied these people payment these commissioners have signed on ratifying the acts of their fellow criminals or miscreants, at least, and at the end of the day refuse to release this money. Money that has been collected. We are not suing the SEC, we aren't suing the government.

Judge: who, in your analysis, is the trustee of the funds? Who holds the funds?

AH: There are actually several trustees who hold the funds, one of whom is currently the DTCC. I only say that because I know the funds are on deposit with the DTCC.

Judge: OK spin that out for me.

AH: The deposit for a trust, clearing corporation, they are the clearing house for all the financial transactions basically that they placed in \_\_\_\_\_

Judge: Privately or publicly?

AH: They are a private company but they act a public one.

Judge: As opposed to governmental

AH: It is not a governmental agency in the same sense that the Federal Reserve Banks are not government.

Judge: What document governs the terms under which they hold those funds?

AH: A trust agreement.

Judge: Between?

AH: Between the people who provisionally set this up

Judge: Who are?

AH: One of whom was Bob Mahue.

Judge: As an employee of the SEC?

AH: Not as an employee of the SEC in any sense of the word, he was at one time on the Board of Directors of the company CMKX Diamonds.

Judge: right

AH: He never acted on behalf of the SEC.

Judge: What control does the sec have over this trust fund?

AH: They don't have any direct control over the trust fund. The agreement, however, that was originally entered into, as I understand the testimony of my, what I have been able to accumulate without the opportunity to do actual discovery, my understanding is that Bob Mahue and several of his associates entered into a deal first with the Department of Justice, they got the SEC on board through the commissioners by talking to several of them. Primarily Christopher Cox.

Judge. Who is a defendant, a named defendant who used to be the Chairman?

AH: The essence of the agreement they made was that in order to make this sting effective the company would go and pump its stock, which it did, the government would assist in that operation, which it did

Judge: How?

AH: There is evidence that they paid for some of the expenses associated with a car, a drag racing car that had CMKX painted on the outside of it that was being very publicly bandied about the internet and raced in various jurisdictions. One of their ex-employees a gentleman named Roger Glenn, an attorney, he used to be an attorney in New York with the law firm \_\_\_\_\_ signed on to increase the stock at the request of the SEC, I am told. He came on to or into CMKX in 2004.

When he arrived there the number of authorized shares for the company some where of 100 or 200 billion, I forget exactly what, when he left some nine or ten months later the number of authorized shares had illegally and improperly, under every law that I am aware of at least, had been raised to 800 billion shares. And this company eventually sold some 700 billion shares of stock. And there is over that many outstanding \_\_\_\_\_, 703

billion plus.

Judge: Why isn't your client against the clearinghouse acting as trustee for these funds?

AH: Because that would be like suing the escrow company.

Judge: Yeah but the escrow company has the funds.

AH: As I started to say, here is the simple answer, your Honor, as I started to say a few minutes ago and I probably did not finish. The original agreement, there was a war that ensued after the sting got under operation because what the sting always contemplated was that Mr. Maheu would collect all of these bad doers, the hedge fund people and people like T.D. Waterhouse and all the other stock brokerage houses around who were naked shorting this company, collect them all in a big room and offer them a deal for two reasons.

First of all to collect money for CMKX for what had been done to them. And second of all to teach these people a lesson that there were people out there watching what was going on. Hopefully that would head them off from continuing in such illicit and illegal and improper behavior. That was in fact done and I have a witness that was there when it was done.

They had a room about three times the size of your courtroom in which they had representative from all of these brokerages from all over the world. They watched a video presentation, because Mr. Mahue, as the court may be aware, was at one time closely associated with the CIA, Howard Hughes and all kinds of other people.

Judge: I was going to ask you whether that was the same Bob Maheu.

AH: It is indeed the same one, a gentleman I happened to make acquaintances with in the 70's to my good fortune. At any rate all of these people were in this room and were shown a video and a slide presentation of all of the evidence of their wrongdoing and they were offered an opportunity to either step up and sign away your money and pay a reasonable amount for each transaction you did illegally and improperly or go walk out of here and get prosecuted and go to jail because what you did was criminal.

Every single person in that room stepped up and made a deal. After that time there became a big conflict between the SEC commissioners and the other governmental entities who were supporting the SEC commissioners about who was going to have the right to release this money to the shareholders and when. My understanding is that it went on for some number of months but ultimately the SEC commissioners prevailed and convinced Mr. Maheu and his associates that it had to be their decision because only they and the rest of the government could determine when this ting had fulfilled its function.

That was the basis on which he gave them the power to make this decision about when the money is to be released. It is my understanding that every trust that is currently being held for release of this money is being held by a person who is sworn to observe that requirement. That the SEC, the US government whoever \_\_\_\_ this payment, goes first. Since my information is that was the SEC commissioners that have this power, this is why they are the defendants in this case.

Judge: Let's return to your Bivens theory, it is taking a headpoint

AH: this money was supposed to have been released within a year of the time the company was originally de-listed in October of 2005. This is now almost October of 2010, some 4 years past that time. It is taking only because they refuse, notwithstanding information they have continued to give to \_\_\_\_\_, they continue to refuse to release this money. If they don't release they money then it is a taking. Because they are preventing what is rightfully ours for us to receive. That is why it is a taking.

Judge: Thank You

AH. You are welcome

Judge: Mr. Saton (?)

SEC: Thank you your Honor. I have to admit most of what I just heard I heard for the first time because most of it wasn't in the claim.

Judge: I found it very educational.

SEC Indeed. True or not I don't know but we are here to discuss what is in the complaint today. We're not here to give oral argument and give testimony to facts no one has any idea about, certainly not myself. We are here to talk about what is in the complaint, whether it was properly pled under rule 8, the \_\_\_ decision and \_\_\_\_\_. As this Court pointed out in its tentative it is not properly pled.

Judge: Yeah I am satisfied with that in the tentative. The case would be for the plaintiff to dismiss the plead to re-plead. I guess what I am really interested in is whether this is an appearance claim given the nature of the asset raid whether sovereign immunity applies.

SEV: Well I suppose they can sue a government official under Bivens for any violation of civil rights whether it has to do with money or not. I don't know any distinguishing facts in this case that would prevent them from being sued individually under Bivens if there are sufficient facts.

Judge: Well if you concede in theory that a Constitution violation of the taking clause could be asserted against an individual an individual government worker.

SEC: Well I haven't researched that so I don't know the answer to that specifically. We asserted on our brief the original invest property because pursuant to the complaint the SEC had the discretion to release funds, if in fact there are these funds in existence, that discretion alone, under the case law that we cited suggests that they don't have the property rights. But the answer to your question is I don't know.

Judge: Well there are two questions I guess. One is there a property right and is the contingent asset, if you will, subject to distribution to the plaintiffs at the will of the commission. But there is a separate issue is whether the nature of the relief sought here is such that it can only be asserted against the commissioners in their official capacity.

SEC Well, I don't think the government has a way of stopping the release in official capacity or in any way.

Judge: Well I understand that, the issue is, is there some manner which these crimes could be asserted against the

individual defendants in their individual capacities or is the relief sought by definition relief that can only be sought in their official capacity in which case there can be no private claim they would be entitled to sovereign immunity in their official capacity

SEC That may be the case, I don't know the answer to that. I think the court is inclined to be consistent with its tentative as far as the pleading requirements. I think the plaintiffs have an opportunity to re-plead to amend the complaint. We will certainly deal with the issue that the Court raised on further briefing, I imagine there will be an additional motion to dismiss in the future. But that being said clearly these are high level government officials, they don't deserve to be sued and discovery taken of them. I am not specific, obligations have been made by some and none have been made to a distant point.

I hear some issues were addressed during oral argument that I didn't see in the complaint, even assuming those are true there is nothing specific to these SEC commissioners other than the fact that they some how have the sole discretion to make every single decision at the SEC. I don't buy that.

Judge: Mr. S---- it might be easier to assess whether claims can be asserted against the commissioners as individuals if we have a complaint that complies with \_\_\_\_\_.

SEC That may be true, yes. If it's in a fact complied and fitting the requirements of \_\_\_\_\_ and it can pass qualified immunity which also remains in the court obviously doesn't need to address right now. But if and when the Court decides it's been properly pled then I think qualified immunity should be addressed.

Judge: Well what I am going to do is dismiss with \_\_\_\_\_ to re-plead for failure to meet the Rule 8 requirements. Again not to dismiss the claims against them in their official capacity as a matter of sovereign immunity and leave the other issues until we have pleading that passes muster.

AH: Agree you Honor.

SEC I would only ask you honor the government have 30 days to respond and to \_\_\_\_\_ people. Thank you.

Judge: Mr. Hodges

AH: I certainly recognize, your Honor, the need to be more specific in the complaint and I appreciate the Courts willingness to give us the opportunity if that is the Courts

Judge: I understand you want

AH 45 days with the

Judge: any objections?

SEC None your Honor

Judge You have 30 days to respond by answering in a motion

AH; That is fine your Honor

Judge: OK we will modify the tentative accordingly

#### 17. Hodges and Associates Update (08-15-2010):

1. How Much Money – As I have said before, we have persuasive evidence to all of the facts alleged as such in the complaint; accordingly, there is a total of 3.87 Trillion Dollars.

2. When is Release – The CMKX distribution funds will be released within a very short time after there is confirmation of Economic Receipt. What does that mean? It means that currently in process is a massive shift of wealth within the US and the world community; that includes: pay-out of all the domestic settlements; institution of the US dollar re-funding project; pay-out of world settlements; and, distribution of funds to many other programs. This involves a total of more than \$ 42 Trillion. Economic Receipt occurs when all trustees have access to all of the funds they are responsible for.

3. Our Status – As I advised in April, we are literally on the thresh-hold. This means that the “work” remaining to be finished will not consume more hours than can be accomplished within one day. We have been at this point now for more than three months [!].

4. The Delay – Although I could write a book about what’s been going on behind the scenes [and may one day] to cause this additional delay, I’ll try to give you the condensed version:

First - Please be aware and understand that there is an economic war raging in the background; this war is between those who have controlled this planet for the last hundred and fifty years, and we who think that it must stop.

Second - The naked corruption that is endemic in D.C. is more than most can comprehend; it is clear that these miscreants have no regard for the US Constitution, Federal Laws and Regulations, nor even any sense of simple morality. They are convinced that they are above any constraint that might apply to lesser mortals, and that no enforcement activity will ever successfully address them. I hasten to add that such opinions are not universal; having said that, it is more widespread than not. I can add that some large number of bankers and others have now been arrested and/or indicted; this, in combination with substantial repatriation of “stolen” moneys, is having a significant impact on the balance of power shifting in our favor.

Third - These miscreants are, in effect, fighting for their lives – at least that part of their lives that establishes an environment in which they can continue to lie, cheat, steal, and mortgage your progeny’s lives, all for their personal gain. Accordingly, they will fight until the doors are all closed by a power that they cannot subvert. That fight continues as I prepare this interim update.

Fourth - The good news is, we are winning the battle. The circle within which these bad apples can operate draws inexorably smaller with each attempt to bribe, suborn and otherwise corrupt the system, and the people within it.

Fifth – By what date will we have Economic Receipt, you ask. We will have it when this initial battle phase comes to a successful conclusion. I still believe that will be in the near future; the most recent schedule, based on communication I received, was that it should have been finished by August 13; somehow at the very last moment, the bank was not available [?] to conclude the necessary transfers. This newest problem, I'm told, is being dealt with this weekend.

I want to extend my heartfelt thanks to those of you who continue to demonstrate support and encouragement for our efforts. We remain very optimistic for the long term. Rest assured that the fight will continue until we succeed. We will prevail.

Al Hodges

In closing, CMKM shareholders are confident that if the various governmental agencies would perform their mandated duties which are to protect investors and to investigate complaints, they would force the release of monies earmarked for distribution to CMKM shareholders. In other words, truth and justice will prevail.